

RAVALLI COUNTY OPEN LANDS BOND PROGRAM

c/o Ravalli County Planning Department 215 S. 4th Street Hamilton, Mt 59840 406-375-6530

planning@ravallicounty.mt.gov

Project Scoring Worksheet (To be completed by Applicant)

Project Name:							
Applicant(s):							
This Project Scoring Worksheet uses a numeric system and standard criteria to quantify aspects of an application. It is only one piece of the evaluation process, and the entire application will be considered when determining suitability for approval. There may be circumstances where the Project Scoring Worksheet does not truly reflect the value or uniqueness of a project, or where the scoring over-values a project. Higher scoring projects are not guaranteed to be approved, nor are lower scoring projects guaranteed to be denied. Please see the Ravalli County Open Lands Guidelines document for more information.							
	Issue	Criteria	Possible points	Score	Comments		
		General Attribute	s				
GA 1	Size of property to be put in an easement						
		More than 400 acres	4				
		100 to 400 acres	3				
		25 to 99 acres	2				
		Less than 25 acres	1				
GA 2	Water rights	1					
	,	Retains senior water rights or adequate irrigation district shares and well-maintained conveyance system to sustain production/ conservation values	4				
		Retains junior waters rights or some irrigation district shares and an adequate conveyance system that generally maintains conservation values.	2				
		There are insufficient water rights or irrigation district shares and no conveyance system or the system is in disrepair.	0				

GA 3	Percent of land reserved			
UAJ	for future home sites		1	
		<1%	4	
		1%	3	
		2%	2	
		3 %	1	
		>4 %	0	
		7 1 70	0	
GA 4	Weed management			
		Property has effective weed	4	
		management practices	4	
		Property has partially effective	2	
		weed management practices	2	
		Property has ineffective weed	0	
		management practices	0	
	To	otal General Attributes Catego		out of possible 16
		Agriculture Resource	ces	
		_		
	Percent of soils classified			
AR 1	as Prime/Statewide			
	Importance or Unique/			
	Locally Significant	0 500/	4	
		Over 50%	4	
		10 to 49%	2	
		0 to 9%	0	
AR 2	Percent of land that is irrigated or could			
	potentially be irrigated			
		60 to 100%	4	
		20 to 59%	2	
		0 to 19%	0	
	Animal Units potential			
AR 3	under Best Management			
	Practices			
		More than 100	3	
		25 to 100	2	
		Less then 25	0	
AR 4	Irrigation			
AN 4	IIIIgatioii	Sprinkled by gravity or	<u> </u>	
		gas/electric pump, or flood	4	
		Irrigated	4	
		Sub-irrigated	2	
		No irrigation	0	
		110 11116111011	0	

	Crop/hay/timber			
4.77	production potential			
AR 5	under Best Management			
	Practices			
		e.g. >3 tons hay/acre or	4	
		>60 bushels of grain/acre	T	
		e.g. $1.5 - 3$ tons hay/acre or $25 - 60$ bushels of grain/acre	2	
		e.g. <1.5 tons hay/acre or <25 bushels of grain/acre	0	
AR 6	Integration of Best Management Practices			
		BMPs are fully integrated into the farm/ranch management	3	
		BMPs are partially integrated into the farm/ranch management	2	
		No integration of BMPs in the farm/ranch management	0	
AR 7	Proximity to other operating farms/ranches			
		Surrounded by other farms/ranches	4	
		Contiguous to one or more farms/ranches	3	
		Within 1/4 mile of other farms/ranches	2	
		Within 1mile of other farms/ranches	1	
		More then 1 mile to other farms/ranches	0	
AR 8	Proximity to other farms/ranches protected by conservation easement			
		Contiguous with other protected farms/ranches	4	
		Within 1/4 mile of other protected farms/ranches	3	
		Within 1/2 mile of other protected farms/ranches	2	
		Within 1 mile of other protected farms/ranches	1	
		More than 1 mile to other protected farms/ranches	0	

Total Agricultural Resources Category Score: _____ out of possible 30

	Natural Attributes					
NA 1	Percent of soils classified as hydric or typically associated with wetland or riparian areas					
		More than 50%	3			
		10% to 50%	2			
		Less than 10%	0			
		22000 222022 2000				
NA 2	Wildlife habitat					
		Property contains riparian areas, elk and mule deer winter range, special habitats, or provides linkage between such habitats.	4			
		Property contains riparian areas or habitat for common species such as waterfowl, coyotes, or whitetail deer	2			
		Property contains little or no habitat for native species	0			
NA 3	Wildlife					
		Property is occupied seasonally or year-round by elk and mule deer, or animal species of concern.	4			
		Property is occupied by common species such as waterfowl, coyotes, or whitetail deer	2			
		Property is occupied by few or no wildlife	0			
NA 4	Protection of river/ stream/creek quality					
		Property contains buffered native riparian systems	4			
		Property contains perennial streams or buffers to adjacent watercourses.	3			
		Property contains natural perennial streams or watercourses.	2			
		Property contains natural ephemeral streams or watercourses.	1			
		Property contains little or no natural streams or watercourses.	0			

	Protection of wetlands				
NA 5	and open water quality				
	(e.g. lake, pond, marsh)				
	(1.0. 1.1)	Property contains buffered	4		
		native wetland or open water.	4		
		Property contains perennial			
		wetlands or open water, or it	3		
		buffers adjacent water sources.			
		Property contains natural			
		perennial wetlands or open	2		
		water sources.			
		Property contains natural			
		seasonal wetlands or open	1		
		water.			
		Property contains little or no			
		natural wetlands or open	0		
		water.			
	Managamart that	1			
	Management that enhances or maintains				
NA 6	habitat/				
	wildlife/water if present				
	r	Management that enhances			
		habitat/wildlife/water	3		
		nabitat/ whente/ water			
		Management that maintains			
		habitat/wildlife/water	2		
		nabitati whome water			
		No or little			
		habitat/wildlife/water or no	0		
		management to maintain it			
	1	1			
	Proximity to important				
NA7	wildlife or fisheries				
	habitat, natural areas, or Important Bird Areas				
	Important Bita Areas	Surrounded	4		
		Contiguous to one or more	3		
		Within a 1/4 mile	2		
		Within a 1/2 mile	1		
		Greater then a mile	0		
				I	
	1=	1			
	Proximity to other natural				
NA 8	areas protected by				
	conservation easements or to public lands				
	to public failus	Contiguous	4		
		Within a 1/4 mile	3		
		Within a 1/2 mile	2		
		Within a mile	1		
		Greater then a mile	0		
			· · ·		

Total Natural Attributes Category Score: _____ out of possible 30

		_			
CB 1	Scenic values				
		The property can be viewed from a federal or state highway	3		
		The property can be viewed from a county road	2		
		The property can only be viewed from a private road	0		
CB 2	Public policy	7			
,	2 7	Management of the property consistently conforms with the intent and goals of federal, state, county and local land use regulations, plans and policies.	3		
		Management of the somewhat conforms with the intent and goals of federal, state, county and local land use regulations, plans and policies.	2		
		Management of the property in no way conforms with the intent and goals of federal, state, county and local land use regulations, plans and policies.	0		
		٦			
CB 3	Public access			ı	
		Establishes or maintains public access to trails, public lands, or hunting and/or fishing	3		
		Establishes or maintains public access to 2 of the 3: trails, public lands, or hunting and/or fishing	2		
		Establishes or maintains public access to 1 of the 3: trails, public lands, or hunting and/or fishing	1		
		Does not establish or maintain public access	0		

Total Additional Community Benefits Category Score: _____ out of possible 9

	Proposed Funding					
	1					
PF 1	Landowner contribution					
		>50% of the value	3			
		21 to 50% of the value	2			
		1-20% of the value	1			
		no contribution	0			
			1			
PF 2	Proposal partners					
11 2	1 Toposai partners	>81% of the cost	3			
		40 to 80% of the cost	2			
		20 to 39% of the cost	1			
		<20% of the cost	0			
		-2070 of the cost	ŭ			
	Matching					
PF 3	"neighborhood"					
	conservation easements	>100 acres contiguous to the				
		proposed project	3			
		>100 acres within ¼ mile of	2			
		the proposed project <100 acres and/or >1/2 mile	_			
		from the proposed project	1			
		No matching easement	0			
	To	until Proposed Funding Catego	rv Score:	out of possible 9		
		1 0 0	-	.		
		Special Attributes				
	-	eptional features of the property	that should	be considered as open land		
values.	Up to 6 points may be aw	varded for special attributes.				
	Total Special Attributes Category Score: out of possible 6					
	out or possible o					
Proi	Project Name: Total Score:					